

Publication in the Official Journal	Yes / No
-------------------------------------	----------

File Number: T 305/91 - 3.5.1

Application No.: 81 106 442.7

Publication No.: 0 048 816

Title of invention: Virtual memory microcomputer architecture

Classification: G06F 13/00

D E C I S I O N
of 23 October 1991

Applicant: TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED

Headword:

EPC Article 108, Rule 65(1)

Keyword:

Headnote



Europäisches
Patentamt

European
Patent Office

Office européen
des brevets

Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Case Number : T 305/91 - 3.5.1

D E C I S I O N
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.1
of 23 October 1991

Appellant : TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED
13500 North Central Expressway
Dallas
Texas 75265 (US)

Representative : Leiser Gottfried, Dipl.-Ing.
Patentanwälte
Prinz, Leise, Bunke & Partner
Manzingerweg 7
W - 8000 München 60 (DE)

Decision under appeal : Decision of Examining Division of the European
Patent Office dated 6 November 1990 refusing
European patent application No. 81 106 442.7
pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.

Composition of the Board :

Chairman : P.K.J. Van den Berg
Members : R. Randes
F. Benussi

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The Appeal contests the decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office dated 6 November 1990 refusing the European Patent Application No. 81 106 442.7. The decision was dispatched by registered letter with advice of delivery on 6 November 1990. The Applicant filed a notice of appeal by letter received on 14 January 1991 and paid the fee for appeal on the same date. No Statement of Grounds was filed. The notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a Statement of Grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.

- II. By a communication dated 7 May 1991 and sent by registered post, the Registry of the Board informed the Appellant that no Statement of Grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The Appellant was invited to file observations within two months.

- III. The Appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC in conjunction with Rule 65(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons, it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:

M. Kiehl

P.K.J. van den Berg