# BESCHWERDEKAMMERN DES EUROPÄISCHEN PATENTAMTS

# BOARDS OF APPEAL OF THE EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

#### Internal distribution code:

- (A) [ ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ ] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

# Datasheet for the decision of 17 January 2025

Case Number: T 1208/23 - 3.3.04

Application Number: 16862791.7

Publication Number: 3370770

IPC: A61K39/395, A61K31/4439,

A61K31/198, A61K31/573,

A61K31/69, C07K16/28, A61K9/10,

A61K47/12, A61K47/20, A61K47/22, A61P35/00

Language of the proceedings: EN

#### Title of invention:

SUBCUTANEOUS FORMULATIONS OF ANTI-CD38 ANTIBODIES AND THEIR USES

#### Patent Proprietor:

Janssen Biotech, Inc.

#### Opponents:

König Szynka Tilmann von Renesse Patent Boutique LLP Breuer, Markus

#### Headword:

# Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 113(2)

# Keyword:

Termination of the appeal proceedings - text or agreement to text withdrawn by patent proprietor

# Decisions cited:

T 0186/84, T 0646/08, T 0728/11, T 2434/18, T 0477/22

#### Catchword:



# Beschwerdekammern **Boards of Appeal**

Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar **GERMANY** Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0

Case Number: T 1208/23 - 3.3.04

DECISION of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.04 of 17 January 2025

Appellant: Janssen Biotech, Inc. 800/850 Ridgeview Drive (Patent Proprietor)

Horsham, PA 19044 (US)

Representative: Carpmaels & Ransford LLP

> One Southampton Row London WC1B 5HA (GB)

Respondent I: König Szynka Tilmann von Renesse Patentanwälte Partnerschaft mbB (Opponent 1) Mönchenwerther Strasse 11

40545 Düsseldorf (DE)

König Szynka Tilmann von Renesse Representative:

Patentanwälte Partnerschaft mbB Düsseldorf

Mönchenwerther Straße 11 40545 Düsseldorf (DE)

Respondent II: Patent Boutique LLP 10A Printing House Yard

(Opponent 2) Hackney Road

London, E2 7PR (GB)

Respondent III: Breuer, Markus Brienner Straße 1 (Opponent 3) 80333 München (DE)

Dörries, Hans Ulrich Representative:

> df-mp Fünf Höfe

Theatinerstrasse 16 80333 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Opposition Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 20 April 2023 revoking European patent No. 3370770 pursuant to

Article 101(3)(b) EPC.

# Composition of the Board:

L. Bühler

- 1 - T 1208/23

### Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The patent proprietor (appellant) filed an appeal against the decision of the opposition division to revoke European patent No 3 370 770.
- II. The board appointed oral proceedings.
- III. In a letter dated 30 December 2024, the appellant stated that it no longer approved the text of the patent as granted, that it withdrew all auxiliary requests on file, and that it would not be filing any replacement text.
- IV. The oral proceedings, appointed for 6 May 2025, were cancelled.

# Reasons for the Decision

- 1. According to the principle of party disposition established by Article 113(2) EPC the EPO shall examine and decide on the European patent only in the text submitted to it or agreed upon by the proprietor of the patent.
- 2. In view of the patent proprietor's statement in its letter dated 30 December 2024 (point III. above), there is no approved text on the basis of which the board could consider the appeal and examine whether a ground for opposition prejudices the maintenance of the patent. It is also no longer possible to take a

- 2 - T 1208/23

decision as to substance because the absence of an approved text precludes any substantive examination of the alleged impediments to patentability (T 186/84, OJ 1986, 79, point 5 of the Reasons; T 646/08, point 4 of the Reasons and T 2434/18, point 4 of the Reasons).

3. In a situation such as the present one, where the patent proprietor has appealed a decision of the opposition division revoking its patent and where the appeal becomes devoid of subject-matter for substantive examination following the withdrawal of the patent proprietor's agreement to any text for the maintenance of the patent, the appeal proceedings are to be terminated, and the decision under appeal becomes final (see T 728/11, point 3; T 477/22, point 3).

#### Order

#### For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal proceedings are terminated.

The Registrar:

The Chairwoman:



I. Aperribay

M. Pregetter

Decision electronically authenticated