BESCHWERDEKAMMERN	BOARDS OF APPEAL OF	CHAMBRES DE RECOURS
DES EUROPÄISCHEN	THE EUROPEAN PATENT	DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN
PATENTAMTS	OFFICE	DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 26 June 2025

Case Number:	т 0520/23 - 3.2.06
Application Number:	17171439.7
Publication Number:	3403970
IPC:	B66B5/00

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

A METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR GENERATING MAINTENANCE DATA OF AN ELEVATOR DOOR SYSTEM

Patent Proprietor:

KONE Corporation

Opponent: TK Elevator GmbH

IN Elevator GIIDA

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 101, 113(2)

Keyword:

Withdrawal of approval of any text for maintenance of the patent (yes)

Decisions cited:

Т 0073/84, Т 0186/84, Т 0655/01, Т 1526/06, Т 1960/12

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar GERMANY Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0

Case Number: T 0520/23 - 3.2.06

D E C I S I O N of Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.06 of 26 June 2025

Appellant: (Opponent)	TK Elevator GmbH E-Plus-Strasse 1 40427 Düsseldorf (DE)
Representative:	Michalski Hüttermann & Partner Patentanwälte mbB Kaistraße 16A 40221 Düsseldorf (DE)
Respondent: (Patent Proprietor)	KONE Corporation Kartanontie 1 00330 Helsinki (FI)
Representative:	Berggren Oy P.O. Box 16 Eteläinen Rautatiekatu 10A 00101 Helsinki (FI)
Decision under appeal:	Decision of the Opposition Division of the European Patent Office posted on 9 January 2023 rejecting the opposition filed against European patent No. 3403970 pursuant to Article 101(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman	Μ.	Harrison
Members:	Μ.	Hannam
	в.	Müller

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. This decision concerns the appeal filed by the opponent against the decision of the opposition division to reject the opposition to European patent No. 3 403 970.
- II. The appellant (opponent) requested that the decision under appeal be set aside and the patent be revoked.
- III. In its reply, the respondent (patent proprietor) requested that the appeal be dismissed or, in the alternative, that the patent be maintained according to a first auxiliary request.
- IV. In preparation for oral proceedings, the Board issued a communication containing its provisional opinion on the objections to the requests on file.
- V. Oral proceedings before the Board were held on 26 June 2025. At the close of these oral proceedings the respondent withdrew approval of any text for maintenance of the patent.

Reasons for the Decision

1. Under Article 113(2) EPC, the European Patent Office shall examine, and decide upon, the European patent only in the text submitted to it, or agreed, by the proprietor of the patent. This principle has to be strictly observed also in opposition and opposition appeal proceedings.

- 2. Such an agreement cannot be deemed to exist if the patent proprietor, as in the present case, expressly states that it no longer approves any text for maintenance of the patent.
- 3. Since the text of the patent is at the disposition of the patent proprietor, a patent cannot be maintained against the patent proprietor's will. It is moreover clear in the present case that it wishes to prevent any text whatsoever of the patent from being maintained.
- 4. In the interests of legal certainty, the proceedings ought to be terminated as quickly as possible. The only possibility in such a case is for the Board to revoke the patent as envisaged in Article 101 EPC, but for other reasons (i.e. non-compliance with Article 113(2) EPC).
- 5. In view of the above, the Board concludes that the patent must be revoked. This conclusion is also in line with case law developed by the Boards of Appeal in *inter alia* decisions T 73/84, T 186/84, T 655/01, T 1526/06 and T 1960/12.

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

1. The decision under appeal is set aside.

2. The patent is revoked.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



D. Grundner

M. Harrison

Decision electronically authenticated