BESCHWERDEKAMMERN PATENTAMTS

BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 27 November 2023

Case Number: T 1938/21 - 3.3.07

Application Number: 16804858.5

Publication Number: 3209309

A61K35/74, A61P29/00, A61P35/00 IPC:

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

Title of invention:

COMPOSITIONS COMPRISING BACTERIAL STRAINS

Patent Proprietor:

CJ Bioscience, Inc.

Opponents:

Janssen Biotech, Inc. Hoffmann Eitle

Headword:

Eubacterium/CJBIOSCIENCE

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 113(2)

Keyword:

Basis of decision - text or agreement to text withdrawn by patent proprietor

Decisions cited:

T 0073/84



Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar GERMANY Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0

Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1938/21 - 3.3.07

DECISION
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.07
of 27 November 2023

Appellant: Hoffmann Eitle

(Opponent 2) Patent- und Rechtsanwälte PartmbB

Arabellastrasse 30 81925 München (DE)

Respondent: CJ Bioscience, Inc.

(Patent Proprietor) 7F, B, 14, Sejong-daero

Jung-gu

Seoul 04527 (KR)

Representative: Bates, Philip Ian

Reddie & Grose LLP

The White Chapel Building 10 Whitechapel High Street

London E1 8QS (GB)

Party as of right: Janssen Biotech, Inc.

(Opponent 1) 800/850 Ridgeview Drive

Horsham

PA 19044 (US)

Representative: Hoffmann Eitle

Patent- und Rechtsanwälte PartmbB

Arabellastraße 30 81925 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Interlocutory decision of the Opposition

Division of the European Patent Office posted on

19 July 2021 concerning maintenance of the European Patent No. 3209309 in amended form

Composition of the Board:

Chairman A. Usuelli Members: J. Molina o J. Molina de Alba

Y. Podbielski

- 1 - T 1938/21

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The decision under appeal is the opposition division's interlocutory decision finding that European patent No. 3 209 309 as amended in the form of auxiliary request 3 met the requirements of the EPC.
- II. The patent had been opposed by two opponents. Opponent 2 (appellant) filed an appeal against the decision.
- III. The proceedings were interrupted and resumed with a new patent proprietor (respondent).
- IV. The board scheduled oral proceedings in line with the parties' requests.
- V. In a letter dated 23 November 2023, the respondent wrote:

"The patentee hereby withdraws their approval of the text approved for grant.

Further, the patentee hereby withdraws requests presently on file.

We understand this terminates the Appeal proceedings and we look forward to confirmation that the proceedings are terminated." - 2 - T 1938/21

Reasons for the Decision

- 1. With the letter dated 23 November 2023, the respondent withdrew its agreement to the text of the patent approved for grant and "requests presently on file". The latter expression does not explicitly refer to all the requests on file. However, the respondent understands that its withdrawals terminate the appeal proceedings and requests confirmation that the proceedings are terminated. Therefore, it can only be understood that the respondent's intention is to withdraw all its requests on file.
- 2. Under Article 113(2) EPC, the European Patent Office shall decide upon the European patent only in the text submitted to it, or agreed, by its proprietor.
- 3. By disapproving the text of the granted patent and withdrawing all amended text versions submitted to the EPO, the patent proprietor withdrew its approval of any text for maintenance of the patent. Therefore, there is no approved text of the patent on the basis of which the board can decide on the appeal.
- 4. In these circumstances, it is established case law that the patent must be revoked without further substantive examination as to patentability (see decision T 73/84, OJ EPO 1985, 241 and Case Law of the Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office, 10th edition 2022, section IV.D.2). The board has no reason to deviate from this case law.

- 3 - T 1938/21

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The patent is revoked.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



B. Atienza Vivancos

A. Usuelli

Decision electronically authenticated