### BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF PATENTAMTS

# OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

#### Internal distribution code:

- (A) [ ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ ] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

#### Datasheet for the decision of 27 March 2023

Case Number: T 1834/21 - 3.2.04

Application Number: 11716470.7

Publication Number: 2556248

F03D7/04 IPC:

Language of the proceedings: EN

#### Title of invention:

A WIND TURBINE

#### Patent Proprietor:

Vestas Wind Systems A/S

#### Opponent:

ENERCON GmbH

#### Headword:

#### Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 113(2)

#### Keyword:

Basis of decision - text or agreement to text withdrawn by patent proprietor - patent revoked

_						•
ı٦	$\Delta c$	77	97	ons	$\alpha$ 1	- 00 -
$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$	=		$\mathbf{o}$	OIIS	-	-eu

Catchword:



## Beschwerdekammern **Boards of Appeal** Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar **GERMANY** 

Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1834/21 - 3.2.04

DECISION of Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.04 of 27 March 2023

Appellant: Vestas Wind Systems A/S

Hedeager 42 (Patent Proprietor)

8200 Aarhus N (DK)

Inspicos P/S Representative:

Agern Allé 24 2970 Hørsholm (DK)

ENERCON GmbH Appellant: Dreekamp 5 (Opponent)

26605 Aurich (DE)

Eisenführ Speiser Representative:

Patentanwälte Rechtsanwälte PartGmbB

Postfach 10 60 78 28060 Bremen (DE)

Interlocutory decision of the Opposition Decision under appeal:

Division of the European Patent Office posted on 5 August 2021 concerning maintenance of the

European Patent No. 2556248 in amended form.

#### Composition of the Board:

A. de Vries Chairman

G. Martin Gonzalez Members:

C. Heath

- 1 - T 1834/21

#### Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeals were filed by the appellant (proprietor) and the appellant (opponent) against the interlocutory decision of the opposition division finding that, on the basis of the first auxiliary request, the patent in suit met the requirements of the EPC.
- II. Oral proceedings before the Board were held as a videoconference on 27 March 2023.
- III. In the course of the oral proceedings, the appellant proprietor declared that they withdrew their appeal and all claim requests on file and declared that there was no longer any agreed text of the patent.

The appellant opponent requested that the decision under appeal be set aside and the patent revoked in its entirety.

#### Reasons for the Decision

Pursuant to Article 113(2) EPC, the EPO shall examine, and decide upon, the European patent only in the text submitted to it, or agreed, by the proprietor of the patent.

Such an agreement cannot be deemed to exist where - as in the present case - the proprietor expressly withdraws all pending requests and declares that there is no longer any agreed text of the patent.

- 2 - T 1834/21

There is therefore no text of the patent on the basis of which the Board can consider the appeal. In these circumstances, the proceedings are to be terminated by a decision ordering revocation of the patent, without examination as to patentability (Case Law of the Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office, 10th edition 2022, IV.D.2).

#### Order

#### For these reasons it is decided that:

- 1. The decision under appeal is set aside.
- 2. The patent is revoked.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



G. Magouliotis

A. de Vries

Decision electronically authenticated