

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 2 November 2020**

Case Number: T 1525/20 - 3.5.07

Application Number: 14763303.6

Publication Number: 2973048

IPC: G06F17/30

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
KNOWLEDGE CAPTURE AND DISCOVERY SYSTEM

Applicant:
Beulah Works, LLC

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1525/20 - 3.5.07

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.07
of 2 November 2020

Appellant: Beulah Works, LLC
(Applicant) 808 N 360 W
Valparaiso, Indiana 46385 (US)

Representative: Avidity IP
Broers Building
Hauser Forum
21 JJ Thomson Avenue
Cambridge CB3 0FA (GB)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 13 November
2019 refusing European patent application No.
14763303.6 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman J. Geschwind
Members: C. Barel-Faucheux
M. Jaedicke

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the examining division of 23 September 2019, posted on 13 November 2019, to refuse European patent application No. 14 763 303.6.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 23 January 2020 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 8 July 2020, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



S. Lichtenvort

J. Geschwind

Decision electronically authenticated