

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 5 May 2020**

Case Number: T 3074/19 - 3.5.07

Application Number: 14774103.7

Publication Number: 2979270

IPC: G11C16/34, G11C16/30

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Auto-suspend and auto-resume operations for a multi-die NAND
memory device

Applicant:

Intel Corporation

Headword:

Missing statement of grounds/INTEL

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 3074/19 - 3.5.07

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.07
of 5 May 2020

Appellant: Intel Corporation
(Applicant) 2200 Mission College Boulevard
Santa Clara, CA 95054 (US)

Representative: Rummler, Felix
Maucher Jenkins
26 Caxton Street
London SW1H 0RJ (GB)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 29 May 2019
refusing European patent application No.
14774103.7 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman R. Moufang
Members: M. Jaedicke
R. de Man

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division, posted on 29 May 2019, to refuse the European patent application No. 14774103.7.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 8 August 2019 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 29 November 2019, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



S. Lichtenvort

R. Moufang

Decision electronically authenticated