

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
(B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
(C) [-] To Chairmen
(D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 27 November 2019**

Case Number: T 1107/19 - 3.3.01

Application Number: 14717703.4

Publication Number: 2981827

IPC: G01N33/574, G01N33/68

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

METHODS AND ARRAYS FOR USE IN BIOMARKER DETECTION FOR PROSTATE
CANCER

Applicant:

Immunovia AB

Headword:

-

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:

-



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1107/19 - 3.3.01

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.01
of 27 November 2019

Appellant: Immunovia AB
(Applicant) Medicon Village
223 81 Lund (SE)

Representative: Potter Clarkson
The Belgrave Centre
Talbot Street
Nottingham NG1 5GG (GB)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 16 October 2018
refusing European patent application No.
14717703.4 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman A. Lindner
Members: G. Seufert
R. Romandini

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division of 16 October 2018, posted on the same date.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 5 November 2018 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 18 April 2019, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



M. Schalow

A. Lindner

Decision electronically authenticated