

**Internal distribution code:**

- (A) [ - ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ - ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ - ] To Chairmen
- (D) [ X ] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision  
of 2 May 2019**

**Case Number:** T 0192/19 - 3.5.04

**Application Number:** 10170452.6

**Publication Number:** 2309750

**IPC:** H04N7/26, H04N7/36, H04N13/00

**Language of the proceedings:** EN

**Title of invention:**  
Methods and apparatus for multi-view video coding

**Applicant:**  
Thomson Licensing

**Headword:**

**Relevant legal provisions:**  
EPC Art. 108  
EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

**Keyword:**  
Admissibility of appeal - statement of grounds (not filed)

**Decisions cited:**

**Catchword:**



**Beschwerdekammern**  
**Boards of Appeal**  
**Chambres de recours**

Boards of Appeal of the  
European Patent Office  
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8  
85540 Haar  
GERMANY  
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0  
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0192/19 - 3.5.04

**D E C I S I O N**  
**of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.04**  
**of 2 May 2019**

**Appellant:** Thomson Licensing  
(Applicant) 1-5, Rue Jeanne d'Arc  
92130 Issy-les-Moulineaux (FR)

**Representative:** Le Dantec, Claude  
InterDigital CE Patent Holdings  
20, rue Rouget de Lisle  
92130 Issy-les-Moulineaux (FR)

**Decision under appeal:** **Decision of the Examining Division of the  
European Patent Office posted on 18 July 2018  
refusing European patent application No.  
10170452.6 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

**Composition of the Board:**

**Chairman** C. Kunzelmann  
**Members:** R. Gerdes  
G. Decker

### **Summary of Facts and Submissions**

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division of 22 June 2018, posted on 18 July 2018.
- II. The appellant filed notice of appeal on 10 September 2018 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 25 January 2019, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply has been received.

### **Reasons for the Decision**

1. No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC.
2. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

**Order**

**For these reasons it is decided that:**

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



K. Boelicke

C. Kunzelmann

Decision electronically authenticated