

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 3 June 2019**

Case Number: T 2972/18 - 3.5.06

Application Number: 13181192.9

Publication Number: 2840485

IPC: G06F9/44, G01C23/00

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Aircraft with centralized generated and unified cabin control panel displays

Applicant:

Airbus Operations GmbH

Headword:

Unified cabin control panel/AIRBUS

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - notice of appeal - filed within time limit (no)

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 2972/18 - 3.5.06

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.06
of 3 June 2019

Appellant: Airbus Operations GmbH
(Applicant) Kreetslag 10
21129 Hamburg (DE)

Representative: LKGLOBAL
Lorenz & Kopf PartG mbB Patentanwälte
Brienner Straße 11
80333 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 20 September
2018 refusing European patent application No.
13181192.9 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman M. Müller
Members: S. Krischer
A. Jimenez

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division of 20 September 2018, posted on the same day.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 3 December 2018 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 15 January 2019, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the notice of appeal had not been filed in due time, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, first sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No observation was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, first sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



N. Schneider

Martin Müller

Decision electronically authenticated