

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 10 April 2019**

Case Number: T 2927/18 - 3.5.03

Application Number: 13725965.1

Publication Number: 3005757

IPC: H04W8/26, H04W76/02, H04W8/20

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
IDENTIFYING A USER EQUIPMENT IN A COMMUNICATION NETWORK

Applicant:
Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson (publ)

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:
EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:
Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 2927/18 - 3.5.03

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.03
of 10 April 2019

Appellant: Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson (publ)
(Applicant) 164 83 Stockholm (SE)

Representative: Ericsson
Patent Development
Torshamnsgatan 21-23
164 80 Stockholm (SE)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 18 June 2018
refusing European patent application No.
13725965.1 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman F. van der Voort
Members: J. Eraso Helguera
R. Winkelhofer

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is against the decision of the examining division of 18 June 2018 refusing European patent application No. 13725965.1.
- II. On 16 August 2018, the applicant filed a notice of appeal and paid the appeal fee.
- III. By communication of 20 December 2018, sent by registered letter with advice of delivery and received by the applicant (appellant), the registry of the board informed the appellant that apparently no written statement of grounds of appeal had been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was further informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

1. No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC. Neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC.
2. The appeal is therefore to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



G. Rauh

F. van der Voort

Decision electronically authenticated