

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 3 April 2019**

Case Number: T 2925/18 - 3.5.04

Application Number: 12889364.1

Publication Number: 2926548

IPC: H04N21/438, H04N21/442,
H04N21/443

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

METHOD AND DEVICE FOR SELECTING A DESIRABLE CHANNEL WHEN
POWERED-ON

Applicant:

Thomson Licensing

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 2925/18 - 3.5.04

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.04
of 3 April 2019

Appellant: Thomson Licensing
(Applicant) 1-5, rue Jeanne d'Arc
92130 Issy-les-Moulineaux (FR)

Representative: Vidon Brevets & Stratégie
16B, rue de Jouanet
BP 90333
35703 Rennes Cedex 7 (FR)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 19 June 2018
refusing European patent application No.
12889364.1 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman C. Kunzelmann
Members: A. Dumont
G. Decker

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division posted on 19 June 2018.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 17 August 2018 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 19 December 2018, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply has been received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



K. Boelicke

C. Kunzelmann

Decision electronically authenticated