BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 3 April 2019

Case Number: T 2880/18 - 3.5.04

Application Number: 13747934.1

Publication Number: 3008913

IPC: H04N21/45, H04N21/442,

> H04N21/475, G06T13/40, H04N21/4784, G06Q30/02

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR EVOLVING AN AVATAR

Applicant:

Thomson Licensing

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar GERMANY Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 2880/18 - 3.5.04

DECISION
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.04
of 3 April 2019

Appellant: Thomson Licensing
(Applicant) 1-5, rue Jeanne d'Arc

92130 Issy-les-Moulineaux (FR)

Representative: Vidon Brevets & Stratégie

16B, rue de Jouanet

BP 90333

35703 Rennes Cedex 7 (FR)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 26 June 2018

refusing European patent application

No. 13747934.1 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman C. Kunzelmann

Members: M. Paci

B. Müller

- 1 - T 2880/18

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division posted on 26 June 2018.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 27 August 2018 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 14 December 2018, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply has been received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

- 2 - T 2880/18

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



K. Boelicke

C. Kunzelmann

Decision electronically authenticated