# BESCHWERDEKAMMERN PATENTAMTS

# BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

#### Internal distribution code:

- (A) [ ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ ] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

# Datasheet for the decision of 13 March 2019

Case Number: T 2236/18 - 3.3.10

Application Number: 07811541.7

Publication Number: 2054361

IPC: C07C17/38, C07C17/383,

C07C17/386, C01B7/19, C07C17/25

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

#### Title of invention:

PROCESSES FOR SEPARATION OF FLUOROOLEFINS FROM HYDROGEN FLUORIDE BY AZEOTROPIC DISTILLATION

## Patent Proprietor:

The Chemours Company FC, LLC

# Opponent:

ARKEMA FRANCE

## Headword:

Missing statement of grounds/ARKEMA FRANCE

# Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

#### Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

			•
Decisions of	٦.	t.e	d:

Catchword:



# Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar GERMANY Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0

Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 2236/18 - 3.3.10

DECISION
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.10
of 13 March 2019

Appellant: ARKEMA FRANCE

(Opponent) Département Propriété Industrielle

420, rue d'Estienne d'Orves

92700 Colombes (FR)

Representative: Leca, François Michel

ARKEMA France

Département Propriété Industrielle

420, rue d'Estienne d'Orves 92705 Colombes Cedex (FR)

Respondent: The Chemours Company FC, LLC

(Patent Proprietor) 1007 Market Street

Wilmington DE 19801 (US)

Representative: Dehns

St. Brides House 10 Salisbury Square London EC4Y 8JD (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Opposition Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 6 July 2018 rejecting the opposition filed against European patent No. 2054361 pursuant to Article 101(2)

EPC.

# Composition of the Board:

Chairman P. Gryczka Members: C. Komenda

F. Blumer

- 1 - T 2236/18

# Summary of Facts and Submissions

- The appeal is directed against the decision of the Opposition Division of 16 May 2018, posted on 6 July 2018.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 6 September 2018 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 11 December 2018, receipt of which was confirmed by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

## Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

#### Order

## For these reasons it is decided that:

- 2 - T 2236/18

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



D. Magliano

P. Gryczka

Decision electronically authenticated