

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 26 April 2019**

Case Number: T 2163/18 - 3.3.04

Application Number: 11705964.2

Publication Number: 2582230

IPC: A01K67/027, C07K16/00,
C07K16/46, C12N15/85, C12N15/90

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
ANIMAL MODELS AND THERAPEUTIC MOLECULES

Applicant:
Kymab Limited

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:
EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

Keyword:
Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 2163/18 - 3.3.04

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.04
of 26 April 2019

Appellant:
(Applicant)

Kymab Limited
The Bennet Building (B930)
Babraham Research Campus
Cambridge CB22 3AT (GB)

Representative:

CMS Cameron McKenna Nabarro
Olswang LLP
Cannon Place
78 Cannon Street
London EC4N 6AF (GB)

Decision under appeal:

**Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 9 March 2018
refusing European patent application No.
11705964.2 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chair G. Alt
Members: B. Claes
L. Bühler

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the examining division of 9 March 2018, posted on 9 March 2018, to refuse European patent application No. 11 705 946.2.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 9 May 2018 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 5 September 2018, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received within the time-limit set.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time-limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chair:



S. Lichtenvort

G. Alt

Decision electronically authenticated