BESCHWERDEKAMMERN PATENTAMTS

BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 4 April 2019

Case Number: T 2052/18 - 3.5.01

Application Number: 10778463.9

Publication Number: 2433259

G06Q30/00, G06Q30/02, IPC:

G06Q20/10, G06Q30/06

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

Title of invention:

SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR IMPLEMENTING AND MANAGING GIFT CARDS

Applicant:

Cashstar, Inc.

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 99(2), 101(1), 126(2)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds



Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar GERMANY Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 2052/18 - 3.5.01

DECISION
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.01
of 4 April 2019

Appellant: Cashstar, Inc. (Applicant) 25 Pearl Street

Portland, ME 04101 (US)

Representative: Prock, Thomas

Marks & Clerk LLP 15 Fetter Lane

London EC4A 1BW (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 22 February 2018 refusing European patent application No. 10778463.9 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman W. Chandler Members: M. Höhn

C. Schmidt

- 1 - T 2052/18

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division of 1 February 2018, posted on 22 February 2018, refusing European patent application 10778463.9.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 4 May 2018 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 20 August 2018, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.

In the same communication, the Board stated that it assumed, unless contradicted by the appellant in the specified period, that the appellant's request for oral proceedings did not apply to the issue of inadmissibility of the appeal because no grounds of appeal had been filed in due time.

IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, the notice of appeal does

- 2 - T 2052/18

not contain anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



T. Buschek W. Chandler

Decision electronically authenticated