

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
(B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
(C) [-] To Chairmen
(D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 5 November 2018**

Case Number: T 1785/18 - 3.5.06

Application Number: 03291922.7

Publication Number: 1387258

IPC: G06F9/38

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Processor-processor synchronization

Applicant:

Texas Instruments Incorporated
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS FRANCE

Headword:

-

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 99(2), 101(1), 126(2)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1785/18 - 3.5.06

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.06
of 5 November 2018

Appellant: Texas Instruments Incorporated
(Applicant 1) 7839 Churchill Way
Mail Station 3999
Dallas, Texas 75251 (US)

Appellant: TEXAS INSTRUMENTS FRANCE
(Applicant 2) Avenue Bel Air, BP 5
06271 Villeneuve Loubet Cedex,
Nice (FR)

Representative: Zeller, Andreas
Texas Instruments Deutschland GmbH
Haggertystraße 1
85356 Freising (DE)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 29 January 2018
refusing European patent application No.
03291922.7 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman M. Müller
Members: A. Teale
A. Jimenez

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division of 29 January 2018, posted on 29 January 2018.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 9 April 2018 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 16 July 2018, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



B. Atienza Vivancos

Martin Müller

Decision electronically authenticated