

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 16 October 2018**

Case Number: T 1387/18 - 3.5.04

Application Number: 12863600.8

Publication Number: 2800367

IPC: H04N21/41, H04N21/485,
H04N5/445, G06F3/048, G09F9/00,
G09G5/00, G09G5/377

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
Display device, display control method, and program

Applicant:
Saturn Licensing LLC

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:
EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:
Admissibility of appeal

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1387/18 - 3.5.04

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.04
of 16 October 2018

Appellant: Saturn Licensing LLC
(Applicant) 25 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10022-3211 (US)

Representative: Witte, Weller & Partner Patentanwälte mbB
Postfach 10 54 62
70047 Stuttgart (DE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 8 December 2017
refusing European patent application
No. 12863600.8 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman B. Müller
Members: R. Gerdes
A. Dumont

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division of 13 November 2017, posted on 8 December 2017.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 8 February 2018 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 6 June 2018, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply has been received.

Reasons for the Decision

1. No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC.
2. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



K. Boelicke

B. Müller

Decision electronically authenticated