BESCHWERDEKAMMERN PATENTAMTS

BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 5 December 2018

Case Number: T 1321/18 - 3.5.07

Application Number: 06770997.2

Publication Number: 1886351

G11C29/50, G11C29/02, IPC:

H01L23/34, G11C5/14

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

Title of invention:

Apparatus and methods for maintaining integrated circuit performance at reduce power

Applicant:

Texas Instruments Incorporated

Headword:

Missing statement of grounds II/TEXAS INSTRUMENTS

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal (no) - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

T 1042/07, T 0234/10

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar GERMANY Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1321/18 - 3.5.07

DECISION
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.07
of 5 December 2018

Appellant: Texas Instruments Incorporated

(Applicant) P.O. Box 655474

Mail Station 3999

Dallas, Texas 75265 (US)

Representative: Zeller, Andreas

Texas Instruments Deutschland GmbH

Haggertystraße 1
85356 Freising (DE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 1 December 2017

refusing European patent application No. 06770997.2 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman R. Moufang

Members: P. San-Bento Furtado

M. Jaedicke

- 1 - T 1321/18

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division to refuse European patent application No. 06770997.2 posted on 1 December 2017.
- II. The applicant (Texas Instruments Incorporated) filed a notice of appeal on 12 February 2018 and paid the appeal fee on the same day. The notice of appeal contained a conditional request for oral proceedings.
- By communication of 1 June 2018, sent by registered III. letter with advice of delivery (the receipt of which was confirmed by the appellant on 4 June 2018), the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication. The appellant was furthermore informed that, unless a statement to the contrary was made by the appellant within the specified time period, the Board would assume that the request for oral proceedings did not apply to the issue of inadmissibility of the appeal since no grounds of appeal had bee filed in due time.
- IV. No reply was received within the deadline set.

- 2 - T 1321/18

Reasons for the Decision

- 1. No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).
- 2. Notwithstanding the appellant's conditional request for oral proceedings made in the notice of appeal, the present decision can be taken without the appointment of oral proceedings. Since the appellant has not provided any statement as to substantive merits of its appeal, has not given any explanation or comments as to why no statement of grounds had been filed, and has not reacted to the Board Registry's notification of an impending rejection of the appeal as inadmissible, the Board considers the initial conditional request for oral proceedings to have become obsolete as a consequence of the subsequent course of action taken. The lack of any response to the Board's notification is considered to be equivalent to an abandonment of the request for oral proceedings (see T 1042/07 of 22 August 2008, point 3 of the reasons; T 234/10 of 25 November 2012, point 2 of the reasons).

- 3 - T 1321/18

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



I. Aperribay

R. Moufang

Decision electronically authenticated