

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 6 June 2018**

Case Number: T 0215/18 - 3.3.02

Application Number: 10735342.7

Publication Number: 2417150

IPC: C07K1/20

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

AN IMPROVED PROCESS FOR THE PREPARATION OF DESMOPRESSIN OR ITS
PHARMACEUTICALLY ACCEPTABLE SALTS

Applicant:

MYLAN LABORATORIES LIMITED

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

Keyword:

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0215/18 - 3.3.02

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.02
of 6 June 2018

Appellant:
(Applicant)

MYLAN LABORATORIES LIMITED
Plot No. 564/A/22, Road No. 92
Jubilee Hills
Hyderabad
500033 Andhra Pradesh (IN)

Representative:

Gillard, Richard Edward
Elkington and Fife LLP
Thavies Inn House
3-4 Holborn Circus
London EC1N 2HA (GB)

Decision under appeal:

**Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 19 July 2017
refusing European patent application No.
10735342.7 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman M. O. Müller
Members: M. Maremonti
L. Bühler

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division of 19 July 2017, posted on 19 July 2017.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 29 September 2017 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 26 January 2018, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



N. Maslin

M. O. Müller

Decision electronically authenticated