

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 25 May 2018**

Case Number: T 0200/18 - 3.3.03

Application Number: 08849630.2

Publication Number: 2209819

IPC: C08F10/00, C08F4/64, C08F4/6592

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
POLYMERIZATION CATALYSTS, METHODS OF MAKING; METHODS OF USING,
AND POLYOLEFINPRODUCTS MADE THEREFROM

Applicant:
Univation Technologies, LLC

Relevant legal provisions:
EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

Keyword:
Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the
European Patent Office
Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8
85540 Haar
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0200/18 - 3.3.03

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.03
of 25 May 2018

Appellant: Univation Technologies, LLC
(Applicant) 5555 San Felipe Street, Suite 1950
Houston, TX 77056-2746 (US)

Representative: Boulton Wade Tennant
Verulam Gardens
70 Gray's Inn Road
London WC1X 8BT (GB)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 14 July 2017
refusing European patent application No.
08849630.2 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman D. Semino
Members: F. Rousseau
C. Brandt

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division to refuse European patent application No. 08 849 630.2 announced in oral proceedings on 13 June 2017, the written reasons of which were posted on 14 July 2017.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 31 August 2017 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 26 January 2018, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the

appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



L. Malécot-Grob

D. Semino

Decision electronically authenticated