BESCHWERDEKAMMERN PATENTAMTS

BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 24 November 2020

Case Number: T 1929/17 - 3.3.09

10753524.7 Application Number:

Publication Number: 2410003

C08J3/22, B60C1/00, C08K3/04, IPC:

C08L21/00, C08L21/02

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

Title of invention:

PROCESS FOR PRODUCING RUBBER-WET MASTERBATCH, RUBBER COMPOSITION, AND TIRE

Patent Proprietor:

Bridgestone Corporation Asahi Carbon

Opponent:

Cabot Corporation

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 101, 113(2)

Keyword:

Decisions cited:

T 0073/84, T 2405/12

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar GERMANY

Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1929/17 - 3.3.09

DECISION
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.09
of 24 November 2020

Appellant: Cabot Corporation

Two Seaport Lane

(Opponent)

Suite 1300 Boston

MA 02210-2019 (US)

Representative: Grünecker Patent- und Rechtsanwälte

 ${\tt PartG\ mbB}$

Leopoldstraße 4 80802 München (DE)

Respondent: Bridgestone Corporation 10-1, Kyobashi 1-chome,

(Patent Proprietor 1) Chuo-ku

Tokyo 104-8340 (JP)

Respondent: Asahi Carbon

2 Kamomejima-chi

(Patent Proprietor 2) 2 Kamomejima-cho

Higashi-ku Niigata-shi

Niigata 950-0883 (JP)

Representative: Oxley, Robin John George

Marks & Clerk LLP 15 Fetter Lane

London EC4A 1BW (GB)

Decision under appeal: Interlocutory decision of the Opposition

Division of the European Patent Office posted on 29 June 2017 concerning maintenance of the European Patent No. 2410003 in amended form.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman F. Rinaldi Members: M. Ansorge

E. Kossonakou

- 1 - T 1929/17

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. This decision concerns the appeal filed by the opponent (appellant) against the interlocutory decision of the opposition division finding that, on the basis of the main request, the European patent No. 2 410 003 met the requirements of the EPC.
- II. The appellant requested that the decision under appeal be set aside and that the patent be revoked in its entirety.
- III. By letter dated 7 January 2020, the patent proprietor (respondent) declared:

"The patent proprietor no longer approves the text of the above-identified patent and will not be submitting an amended text. We understand that this patent will be revoked."

Reasons for the Decision

- 1. Pursuant to Article 113(2) EPC the EPO shall consider, and decide upon, the European patent only in the text submitted to it, or agreed, by the proprietor of the patent.
- Such an agreement cannot be deemed to exist if the patent proprietor - as in the present case - expressly states that it no longer approves the text of the patent and declares that it will not be submitting an amended text.
- 3. Since the text of the patent is at the disposition of the patent proprietor, a patent cannot be maintained

- 2 - T 1929/17

against the patent proprietor's will. There is therefore no text on the basis of which the board can maintain the patent. Thus, the board concludes that the patent is to be revoked as envisaged in Article 101 EPC. In this context, reference is made to the case law developed by the Boards of Appeal, in, *inter alia*, T 73/84 (OJ 1985, 241) and T 2405/12.

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

- 1. The decision under appeal is set aside.
- 2. The patent is revoked.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



A. Nielsen-Hannerup

F. Rinaldi

Decision electronically authenticated