

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 14 August 2017**

Case Number: T 0958/17 - 3.5.03

Application Number: 08831357.2

Publication Number: 2193613

IPC: H04B7/26, H04J13/22, H04J11/00,
H04L5/00, H04J13/00

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

RESTRICTED CYCLIC SHIFT CONFIGURATION FOR RANDOM ACCESS
PREAMBLES IN WIRELESS NETWORKS

Applicant:

Intel Corporation

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

European Patent Office
D-80298 MUNICH
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0958/17 - 3.5.03

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.03
of 14 August 2017

Appellant: Intel Corporation
(Applicant) 2200 Mission College Boulevard
Santa Clara, CA 95054 (US)

Representative: HGF Limited
Fountain Precinct
Balm Green
Sheffield S1 2JA (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 28 October 2016
refusing European patent application No.
08831357.2 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman F. van der Voort
Members: B. Noll
O. Loizou

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. This appeal is against the decision of the examining division refusing European application No. 08831357.2.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 2 December 2016 and paid the appeal fee the same day. As an auxiliary measure, oral proceedings were requested.
- III. With a communication dated 26 April 2017, reception of which was confirmed by the appellant, the registry of the board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received within the given time limit. With a letter dated 7 August 2017, the appellant withdrew its request for oral proceedings.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal is to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



G. Rauh

F. van der Voort

Decision electronically authenticated