

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 29 November 2016**

Case Number: T 1931/16 - 3.5.05

Application Number: 05801403.6

Publication Number: 1810203

IPC: G06F19/00

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SELF- MANAGEMENT OF A DISEASE

Applicant:

NOVO NORDISK A/S

Headword:

Patient self-care device/NOVO NORDISK

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

European Patent Office
D-80298 MUNICH
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1931/16 - 3.5.05

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.05
of 29 November 2016

Appellant: NOVO NORDISK A/S
(Applicant) Novo Allé
2880 Bagsvaerd (DK)

Representative: NOVO NORDISK A/S
Corporate Patents
Novo Allé
2880 Bagsværd (DK)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office posted on 9 March 2016 refusing European patent application No. 05801403.6 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chair A. Ritzka
Members: P. Cretaine
G. Weiss

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division posted on 9 March 2016.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 17 May 2016 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 29 August 2016, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chair:



K. Götz-Wein

A. Ritzka

Decision electronically authenticated