

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 1 February 2017**

Case Number: T 1618/16 - 3.5.07

Application Number: 06848700.8

Publication Number: 1969500

IPC: G06F17/30

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Techniques to generate context information

Applicant:

Qualcomm Incorporated

Headword:

Context information/QUALCOMM

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108

EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal (no) - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours

European Patent Office
D-80298 MUNICH
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1618/16 - 3.5.07

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.07
of 1 February 2017

Appellant: Qualcomm Incorporated
(Applicant) 5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, CA 92121-1714 (US)

Representative: Freeman, Jacqueline Carol
WP Thompson
138 Fetter Lane
London EC4A 1BT (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 22 January 2016
refusing European patent application No.
06848700.8 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman R. Moufang
Members: P. San-Bento Furtado
M. Jaedicke

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division to refuse European patent application No. 06848700.8 announced in oral proceedings on 3 December 2015, the written reasons of which were posted on 22 January 2016.
- II. The applicant filed a notice of appeal on 17 March 2016 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 10 August 2016, sent by registered letter with advice of delivery (the receipt of which was confirmed by the appellant on 3 November 2016), the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received within the deadline set.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



I. Aperribay

R. Moufang

Decision electronically authenticated