PATENTAMTS

BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 4 August 2017

Case Number: T 1097/16 - 3.2.08

Application Number: 10720507.2

Publication Number: 2442941

IPC: B23Q17/20, B23Q17/24

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

USER-FACILITATED MATERIAL REMOVAL IN COMPOSITE STRUCTURES

Patent Proprietor:

The Boeing Company

Opponents:

Airbus Defence and Space GmbH Lufthansa Technik AG Sauer GmbH Airbus Operations GmbH

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 99(2), 101(1), 126(2)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

European Patent Office D-80298 MUNICH GERMANY Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0

Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1097/16 - 3.2.08

DECISION of Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.08 of 4 August 2017

Appellant: Airbus Defence and Space GmbH (Opponent 1) Willy-Messerschmitt-Straße 1

85521 Ottobrunn (DE)

Representative: Marschall, Stefan

Elbpatent

Marschall & Partner

Patent- und Rechtsanwaltskanzlei mbB

Bavariaring 26 80336 München (DE)

Appellant: Airbus Operations GmbH

(Opponent 4) Kreetslag 10

21129 Hamburg (DE)

Representative: Klöpper, Ute

Airbus Operations GmbH

Patentabteilung Postfach 95 01 09 21111 Hamburg (DE)

Respondent: The Boeing Company

(Patent Proprietor) 100 North Riverside Plaza

Chicago, IL 60606-1596 (US)

Representative: Howson, Richard G.B. Kilburn & Strode LLP Lacon

London 84 Theobalds Road London WC1X 8NL (GB)

Party as of right: Lufthansa Technik AG

(Opponent 2) Weg beim Jäger 193

22335 Hamburg (DE)

Representative: Glawe, Delfs, Moll

Partnerschaft mbB

von Patent- und Rechtsanwälten

Postfach 13 03 91 20103 Hamburg (DE)

Sauer GmbH Party as of right:

Gildemeisterstrasse 1 (Opponent 3)

55758 Stipshausen (DE)

MERH-IP Matias Erny Reichl Hoffmann Representative:

> Patentanwälte PartG mbB Paul-Heyse-Strasse 29 80336 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Interlocutory decision of the Opposition

Division of the European Patent Office posted on

4 March 2016 concerning maintenance of the European Patent No. 2442941 in amended form.

Composition of the Board:

Chairwoman P. Acton

Members: M. Alvazzi Delfrate

I. Beckedorf

- 1 - T 1097/16

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeals are directed against the decision of the Opposition Division of 18 February 2016, posted on 4 March 2016.
- II. The appellant 01 (opponent 01) filed a notice of appeal on 3 May 2016 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
 - The appellant 02 (opponent 04) filed a notice of appeal on 4 May 2016 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 17 August 2016, received by the appellants, the Registry of the Board informed the appellants that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeals would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellants were informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

- 1. No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC.
- 2. In addition, neither the notices of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC.

- 2 - T 1097/16

3. Therefore, the appeals have to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeals of opponent 01 and opponent 04 are rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairwoman:



C. Moser P. Acton

Decision electronically authenticated