

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 13 October 2015**

Case Number: T 1375/15 - 3.5.04

Application Number: 10178926.1

Publication Number: 2315438

IPC: H04N5/445

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Multiple interactive electronic program guide system and methods

Applicant:

Starsight Telecast, Inc.

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal Missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



**Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours**

European Patent Office
D-80298 MUNICH
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1375/15 - 3.5.04

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.04
of 13 October 2015

Appellant: Starsight Telecast, Inc.
(Applicant) 2830 De La Cruz Boulevard
Santa Clara, CA 95050 (US)

Representative: Curran, Clair
Ropes & Gray International LLP
5 New Street Square
London EC4A 3BF (GB)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 26 January 2015
refusing European patent application
No. 10178926.1 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman C. Kunzelmann
Members: R. Gerdes
B. Müller

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division of 9 December 2014, posted on 26 January 2015.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 23 March 2015 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 14 July 2015, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply has been received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



K. Boelicke

C. Kunzelmann

Decision electronically authenticated