

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
(B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
(C) [-] To Chairmen
(D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 26 November 2015**

Case Number: T 0941/15 - 3.5.01

Application Number: 05781964.1

Publication Number: 1789937

IPC: G06Q40/00

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MANAGING TRADING USING ALERT MESSAGES
FOR OUTLYING TRADING ORDERS

Applicant:

eSpeed, Inc.

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



**Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours**

European Patent Office
D-80298 MUNICH
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0941/15 - 3.5.01

**D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.01
of 26 November 2015**

Appellant: eSpeed, Inc.
(Applicant) 110 East 59th Street
Floor 25
New York, NY 10022 (US)

Representative: Beresford, Keith Denis Lewis
Beresford Crump LLP
16 High Holborn
London WC1V 6BX (GB)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office posted on 26 November 2014 refusing European patent application No. 05781964.1 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman W. Chandler
Members: R. R. K. Zimmermann
P. Schmitz

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appellant contests the decision of the examining division of the European Patent Office dated 26 November 2014 refusing European patent application No. 05781964.1.

The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 4 February 2015 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.

A written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was not filed within the four-month time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC. Nor did the notice of appeal contain anything that might be considered as such a statement.

- II. In a communication dated 18 May 2015, the Board informed the appellant that no statement setting out the grounds of appeal had been received and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was informed that any observations should be filed within two months.
- III. The appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC, the appeal is inadmissible pursuant to Rule 101(1) EPC.

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



T. Buschek

W. Chandler

Decision electronically authenticated