

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
(B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
(C) [-] To Chairmen
(D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 24 November 2015**

Case Number: T 0705/15 - 3.3.10

Application Number: 05736885.4

Publication Number: 1735398

IPC: C09K5/04, C08J9/00, C09K3/30

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
AZEOTROPE-LIKE COMPOSITIONS OF TETRAFLUOROPROPENE AND
TRIFLUOROIODOMETHANE

Patent Proprietor:
Honeywell International Inc.

Opponent:
Arkema France

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:
EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:
Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



**Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours**

European Patent Office
D-80298 MUNICH
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0705/15 - 3.3.10

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.10
of 24 November 2015

Appellant: Arkema France
(Opponent) DRD/Département Propriété Industrielle
420, rue d'Estienne d'Orves
92705 Colombes Cedex (FR)

Representative: Dang, Doris
ARKEMA FRANCE
Département Propriété Industrielle
420, rue d'Estienne d'Orves
92705 Colombes Cedex (FR)

Respondent: Honeywell International Inc.
(Patent Proprietor) 115 Tabor Road
Morris Plains, NJ 07950 (US)

Representative: Crooks, Elizabeth Caroline
Kilburn & Strode LLP
20 Red Lion Street
London WC1R 4PJ (GB)

Decision under appeal: **Interlocutory decision of the Opposition**
Division of the European Patent Office posted on
16 January 2015 concerning maintenance of the
European Patent No. 1735398 in amended form.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman P. Gryczka
Members: C. Komenda
F. Blumer

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Opposition Division of 16 January 2015.
- II. The appellant (opponent) filed a notice of appeal on 16 March 2015 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 23 July 2015, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



C. Rodríguez Rodríguez

P. Gryczka

Decision electronically authenticated