# BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

#### Internal distribution code:

- (A) [ ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ ] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

### Datasheet for the decision of 21 July 2015

Case Number: T 0165/15 - 3.2.07

Application Number: 07847233.9

Publication Number: 2091840

IPC: B65D81/30, B65D81/38

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

Title of invention: PROTECTIVE PACKAGING

### Patent Proprietors:

Unilever PLC Unilever N.V.

#### Opponent:

Henkel AG & Co. KGaA

Headword:

### Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108, third sentence EPC R. 101(1)

#### Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

#### Decisions cited:

### Catchword:



## Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

European Patent Office D-80298 MUNICH GERMANY Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0165/15 - 3.2.07

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.07
of 21 July 2015

Appellants:

(Patent Proprietors )

Unilever PLC Unilever House

100 Victoria Embankment

London, Greater London EC4Y ODY (GB)

and

Unilever N.V. Weena 455

3013 AL Rotterdam (NL)

Representative: Hardy, Susan Margaret

Unilever Patent Group

Colworth House Sharnbrook

Bedford, MK44 1LQ (GB)

Respondent: Henkel AG & Co. KGaA (Opponent) Henkelstrasse 67

40589 Düsseldorf (DE)

Representative: Henkel AG & Co. KGaA

Patente (VLI)

40191 Düsseldorf (DE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Opposition Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 13 November 2014 revoking European patent No. 2091840

pursuant to Article 101(2) EPC.

### Composition of the Board:

Chairman H. Meinders Members: G. Patton

G. Weiss

- 1 - T 0165/15

### Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal contests the decision of the Opposition Division posted on 13 November 2014 revoking the European patent No. 2 091 840.

The appellants (patent proprietors) filed a notice of appeal on 23 January 2015 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.

No statement setting out the grounds of the appeal was filed.

II. By a communication dated 13 April 2015 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the registry of the Board informed the appellants that no statement of grounds of appeal had been filed and that its appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible.

The appellants were invited to file observations within two months.

III. No answer has been given to the registry's communication.

### Reasons for the Decision

- 1. The notice of appeal filed on 23 January 2015 contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement setting out the grounds of appeal pursuant to Article 108 EPC.
- 2. As no such written statement has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC, third sentence, in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC).

### Order

### For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



G. Nachtigall

H. Meinders

Decision electronically authenticated