

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 2 April 2015**

Case Number: T 2026/14 - 3.3.08

Application Number: 10181115.6

Publication Number: 2360261

IPC: C12N15/82, C07K14/78, A01H5/00

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
Collagen producing plants and methods of generating and using
same

Applicant:
CollPlant Ltd.

Headword:
Collagen producing plants/CollPlant Ltd.

Relevant legal provisions:
EPC Art. 108

Keyword:
Missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



**Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours**

European Patent Office
D-80298 MUNICH
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 2026/14 - 3.3.08

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.08
of 2 April 2015

Appellant: CollPlant Ltd.
(Applicant) P.O. Box 408
South Industry Zone
11013 Kiryat-Shmona (IL)

Representative: Vossius & Partner
Patentanwälte Rechtsanwälte mbB
Siebertstrasse 3
81675 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office posted on 28 April 2014 refusing European patent application No. 10181115.6 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman M. Wieser
Members: B. Stolz
D. Rogers

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division posted on 28 April 2014.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal and paid the appeal fee.
- III. By communication of 14 October 2014, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

1. No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



A. Wolinski

M. Wieser

Decision electronically authenticated