BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 14 October 2014

Case Number: T 1477/14 - 3.4.03

Application Number: 06781622.3

Publication Number: 1911079

IPC: H01L21/50, H01L51/50

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

Title of invention:

LIGHT-EMITTING ELEMENT, LIGHT-EMITTING DEVICE, AND ELECTRONIC APPLIANCE

Applicant:

Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd.

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

"Appeal inadmissible - no statement of the grounds of appeal"

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

European Patent Office D-80298 MUNICH GERMANY Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1477/14 - 3.4.03

DECISION of Technical Board of Appeal 3.4.03 of 14 October 2014

Appellant: Semiconductor Energy Laboratory Co., Ltd.

(Applicant) 398 Hase

Atsugi-shi, Kanagawa-ken 243-0036 (JP)

Representative: Grünecker, Kinkeldey,

Stockmair & Schwanhäusser

Leopoldstrasse 4 80802 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 22 January 2014

refusing European patent application No. 06781622.3 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

T. Bokor

- 1 - T 1477/14

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. This is an appeal against the refusal of European patent application No. 06781622.3, posted on 22 January 2014.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 28 March 2014 and paid the appeal fee on the same day. No separate statement of grounds of appeal was filed.
- III. By a communication dated 11 July 2014, sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the appellant was informed that no statement of grounds of appeal had been filed and that, therefore, it was to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.
- IV. No answer has been given to the communication within the time limit. No request for re-establishment of rights was filed.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed and as the notice of appeal does not contain anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds of appeal according to Article 108, third sentence, and Rule 99(2) EPC, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



S. Sánchez Chiquero

G. Eliasson

Decision electronically authenticated