

**Internal distribution code:**

- (A) [ - ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ - ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ - ] To Chairmen
- (D) [ X ] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision  
of 12 December 2014**

**Case Number:** T 1203/14 - 3.5.01

**Application Number:** 10250056.8

**Publication Number:** 2207101

**IPC:** G06F13/38

**Language of the proceedings:** EN

**Title of invention:**

Method and device for parallel interfacing

**Applicant:**

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.

**Headword:**

**Relevant legal provisions:**

EPC Art. 108  
EPC R. 101(1)

**Keyword:**

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

**Decisions cited:**

**Catchword:**



**Beschwerdekammern  
Boards of Appeal  
Chambres de recours**

European Patent Office  
D-80298 MUNICH  
GERMANY  
Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0  
Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1203/14 - 3.5.01

**D E C I S I O N**  
**of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.01**  
**of 12 December 2014**

**Appellant:** Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.  
(Applicant) 129, Samsung-ro  
Yeongtong-gu  
Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 443-742 (KR)

**Representative:** Mounteney, Simon James  
Marks & Clerk LLP  
90 Long Acre  
London  
WC2E 9RA (GB)

**Decision under appeal:** **Decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office posted on 17 December 2013 refusing European patent application No. 10250056.8 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

**Composition of the Board:**

**Chairman** S. Wibergh  
**Members:** P. Scriven  
S. Fernández de Córdoba

## **Summary of Facts and Submissions**

- I. The appellant contests the decision of the examining division of the European Patent Office dated 17 December 2013 refusing European patent application No. 10250056.8.

The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 3 February 2014 and paid the appeal fee on 5 February 2014.

A written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was not filed within the four-month time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC. Nor did the notice of appeal contain anything that might be considered as such a statement.

- II. In a communication dated 4 June 2014, the Board informed the appellant that no statement setting out the grounds of appeal had been received and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was informed that any observations should be filed within two months.
- III. The appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

## **Reasons for the Decision**

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC, the appeal is inadmissible pursuant to Rule 101(1) EPC.

**Order**

**For these reasons it is decided that:**

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



T. Buschek

S. Wibergh

Decision electronically authenticated