

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
- (B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [-] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 10 September 2014**

Case Number: T 1194/14 - 3.4.02
Application Number: 06720322.4
Publication Number: 1846948
IPC: G01C3/08, G01C3/00, G01J9/00,
G01S7/08, G01S17/36, G01S17/89
Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

METHODS AND DEVICES FOR IMPROVED CHARGE MANAGEMENT FOR THREE-
DIMENSIONAL AND COLOR SENSING

Applicant:

Microsoft Corporation

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

Missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



**Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours**

European Patent Office
D-80298 MUNICH
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1194/14 - 3.4.02

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.4.02
of 10 September 2014

Appellant: Microsoft Corporation
(Applicant) One Microsoft Way
Redmond, WA 98052 (US)

Representative: Boulton Wade Tennant
Verulam Gardens
70 Gray's Inn Road
London WC1X 8BT (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 10 December
2013 refusing European patent application No.
06720322.4 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman A. Klein
Members: A. Hornung
L. Bühler

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division of 27 November 2013 posted on 10 December 2013.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 10 February 2014 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 2 June 2014, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



D. Magliano

A. Klein

Decision electronically authenticated