BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 7 November 2014

Case Number: T 0932/14 - 3.3.10

Application Number: 01272430.8

Publication Number: 1345637

IPC: A61L27/06

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

Title of invention:

SURFACE MODIFICATION OF IMPLANTS FOR HEALING IN BONE AND SOFT TISSUE

Patent Proprietor:

TioTec AB

Opponent:

Dentsply IH AB

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

European Patent Office D-80298 MUNICH GERMANY Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0932/14 - 3.3.10

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.10
of 7 November 2014

Appellant: Dentsply IH AB (Opponent) Aminogatan 1

431 21 Mölndal (SE)

Representative: Zakrisson, Ulrika

Awapatent AB P.O. Box 45086

104 30 Stockholm (SE)

Respondent: TioTec AB

(Patent Proprietor) Box 45

540 16 Timmersdala (SE)

Representative: Romare, Laila Anette

Zacco Sweden AB Torggatan 8 Box 142

401 22 Göteborg (SE)

Decision under appeal: Interlocutory decision of the Opposition

Division of the European Patent Office posted on 28 February 2014 concerning maintenance of the European Patent No. 1345637 in amended form.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman P. Gryczka
Members: J. Mercey

F. Blumer

- 1 - T 0932/14

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Opposition Division of 25 November 2013, posted on 28 February 2014.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 23 April 2014 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 7 August 2014, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



C. Rodríguez Rodríguez

P. Gryczka

Decision electronically authenticated