# BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

#### Internal distribution code:

- (A) [ ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ ] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

## Datasheet for the decision of 3 September 2014

Case Number: T 2479/13 - 3.5.04

03768910.6 Application Number:

Publication Number: 1568206

IPC: H04N7/26, G06K9/36, G06K9/46,

G06K9/32, G06T7/00, H04N7/24,

H04N7/52

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

#### Title of invention:

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PROCESSING SYMBOLS EMBEDDED IN DIGITAL VIDEO

### Applicant:

QUALCOMM INCORPORATED

Headword:

### Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

#### Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal

Decisions cited:

#### Catchword:



# Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

European Patent Office D-80298 MUNICH GERMANY Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 2479/13 - 3.5.04

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.04
of 3 September 2014

Appellant: QUALCOMM INCORPORATED
(Applicant) 5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, CA 92121 (US)

Representative: Heselberger, Johannes

Bardehle Pagenberg Partnerschaft mbB

Patentanwälte, Rechtsanwälte

Prinzregentenplatz 7 81675 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 13 June 2013

refusing European patent application No. 03768910.6 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: F. Edlinger
Members: M. Paci

T. Karamanli

- 1 - T 2479/13

## Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division posted on 13 June 2013.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 14 August 2013 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 15 January 2014, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

#### Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

## Order

## For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



K. Boelicke

F. Edlinger

Decision electronically authenticated