# BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

#### Internal distribution code:

- (A) [ ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ ] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

# Datasheet for the decision of 21 January 2015

Case Number: T 2463/13 - 3.4.01

Application Number: 06254615.5

Publication Number: 1765046

IPC: H05H1/34

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

#### Title of invention:

Plasma torch electrode with improved insert configurations

#### Patent Proprietor:

Hypertherm, Inc.

#### Opponent:

L'AIR LIQUIDE, SOCIETE ANONYME POUR L'ETUDE ET L'EXPLOITATION DES PROCEDES GEORGES CLAUDE

#### Headword:

# Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

# Keyword:

#### Decisions cited:

# Catchword:



# Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

European Patent Office D-80298 MUNICH GERMANY Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 2463/13 - 3.4.01

# D E C I S I O N of Technical Board of Appeal 3.4.01 of 21 January 2015

Appellant: Hypertherm, Inc.

(Patent Proprietor) Etna Road

P.O. Box 5010

Hanover, NH 03755 (US)

Representative: Johnson, Yvonne Catherine

Barker Brettell LLP 100 Hagley Road

Edgbaston Birmingham B16 8QQ (GB)

Respondent: L'AIR LIQUIDE, SOCIETE ANONYME POUR

(Opponent) L'ETUDE ET L'EXPLOITATION DES PROCEDES

GEORGES CLAUDE 75, Quai d'Orsay 75007 Paris (FR)

Representative: Pittis, Olivier

L'Air Liquide, S.A.

Direction de la Propriété Intellectuelle

75, Quai d'Orsay 75321 Paris Cedex 07 (FR)

Decision under appeal: Interlocutory decision of the Opposition

Division of the European Patent Office posted on 11 October 2013 concerning maintenance of the European Patent No. 1765046 in amended form.

#### Composition of the Board:

Chairman G. Assi Members: H. Wolfrum

C. Schmidt

- 1 - T 2463/13

### Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal of the patent proprietor is directed against the interlocutory decision of the opposition division dated 11 October 2013 to maintain the patent in amended form.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 10 December 2013 and paid the appeal fee on the same day. The appellant announced that "Details of the sets of claims which the patentee requests are considered in the appeal will be provided with the Grounds of Appeal".
- III. No statement of grounds of appeal was filed within the four-month time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC.
- IV. By a communication dated 6 May 2014 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the Board informed the appellant that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations would have to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- V. No reply was received.

#### Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108 EPC, third sentence. In addition, the notice of appeal does not contain anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds of appeal pursuant to Article 108 EPC.

- 2 - T 2463/13

Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

#### Order

## For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



R. Schumacher

G. Assi

Decision electronically authenticated