

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
(B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
(C) [-] To Chairmen
(D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 5 May 2014**

Case Number: T 1881/13 - 3.2.07

Application Number: 03763714.7

Publication Number: 1521664

IPC: B26F1/24, D04H1/54, D04H3/14,
D04H3/16, D04H1/56, D04H5/06

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
THERMOBONDED AND PERFORATED NONWOVEN

Patent Proprietor:
Fiberweb Holdings Limited

Opponent:
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY

Headword:
-

Relevant legal provisions:
EPC Art. 108, third sentence
EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:
Admissibility of appeal - statement of grounds (not filed)

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



**Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours**

European Patent Office
D-80298 MUNICH
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1881/13 - 3.2.07

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.07
of 5 May 2014

Appellant:
(Patent Proprietor)

Fiberweb Holdings Limited
Forsyth House
211-217 Lower Richmond Road
Richmond on Thames
London TW9 4LN (GB)

Representative:

Herzog, Martin
Herzog Fiesser & Partner
Patentanwälte
Immermannstrasse 40
40210 Düsseldorf (DE)

Respondent:
(Opponent)

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY
One Procter & Gamble Plaza
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 (US)

Representative:

Borbach, Markus
Procter & Gamble Service GmbH
IP Department
Frankfurter Strasse 145
61476 Kronberg im Taunus (DE)

Decision under appeal:

**Decision of the Opposition Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 28 June 2013
revoking European patent No. 1521664 pursuant to
Article 101(3) (b) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: H. Meinders
Members: K. Poalas
I. Beckedorf

Summary of Facts and Submissions

I. The appeal contests the decision of the Opposition Division of the European Patent Office posted on 28 June 2013 revoking the European patent No. 1 521 664 pursuant to Article 101(3) (b) EPC.

The appellant (patent proprietor) filed a notice of appeal on 3 September 2013 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day.

No statement of grounds was filed.

II. By a communication dated 3 December 2013 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the registry of the Board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds had been filed and that its appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.

III. No answer has been given to the registry's communication.

Reasons for the Decision

1. The notice of appeal filed on 3 September 2013 contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.

2. As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC, third sentence, in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



G. Nachtigall

H. Meinders

Decision electronically authenticated