

**Internal distribution code:**

- (A)  Publication in OJ  
(B)  To Chairmen and Members  
(C)  To Chairmen  
(D)  No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision  
of 16 October 2013**

**Case Number:** T 1295/13 - 3.5.06

**Application Number:** 05764696.0

**Publication Number:** 1728138

**IPC:** G06F 1/00

**Language of the proceedings:** EN

**Title of invention:**

System and method for aggregation and analysis of information from multiple disparate sources while assuring source and record anonymity using an exchange hub

**Applicant:**

GRID ANALYTICS LLC

**Headword:**

-

**Relevant legal provisions:**

EPC Art. 108  
EPC R. 101(1)

**Keyword:**

"Missing statement of grounds"

**Decisions cited:**

-

**Catchword:**

-



**Case Number:** T 1295/13 - 3.5.06

**DECISION**  
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.06  
of 16 October 2013

**Appellant:** GRID ANALYTICS LLC  
(Applicant) PO Box 1117  
Stowe, VT 05672 (US)

**Representative:** Müller Schupfner & Partner  
Bavariaring 11  
D-80336 München (DE)

**Decision under appeal:** Decision of the Examining Division of the  
European Patent Office posted 21 December 2012  
refusing European patent application  
No. 05764696.0 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

**Composition of the Board:**

**Chairman:** D. H. Rees  
**Members:** S. Krischer  
W. Sekretaruk

## **Summary of Facts and Submissions**

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division of 14 December 2012, posted on 21 December 2012.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 19 February 2013 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 11 June 2013, received by the appellant on 12 June 2013, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

## **Reasons for the Decision**

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

**Order**

**For these reasons it is decided that:**

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:

B. Atienza Vivancos

D. H. Rees