BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 20 August 2013

Case Number: T 1000/13 - 3.3.05

Application Number: 08158035.9

Publication Number: 2002876

IPC: B01D46/30, B01D46/00,

B60T17/00, B01D45/16, B01D53/26

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

Title of invention:

Air dryer with oil removal filter

Applicant:

Bendix Commercial Vehicles Systems, LLC

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

"Missing statement of grounds "

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern **Boards of Appeal** Chambres de recours

European Patent Office D-80298 MUNICH **GERMANY** Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1000/13 - 3.3.05

DECISION of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.05 of 20 August 2013

Bendix Commercial Vehicles Systems, LLC Appellant:

901 Cleveland Street (Applicant) Elyria, Ohio 44035 (US)

Representative: Finnie, Peter John

Gill Jennings & Every LLP

The Broadgate Tower 20 Primrose Street London EC2A 2ES (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 4 October 2012

refusing European patent application

No. 08158035.9 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: G. Raths Members: J-M. Schwaller

C. Vallet

- 1 - T 1000/13

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division posted on 4 October 2012 to refuse European patent application 08 158 035.9.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 13 December 2012 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 2 May 2013, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds of appeal pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

- 2 - T 1000/13

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



C. Vodz G. Raths

Decision electronically authenticated