

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [-] Publication in OJ
(B) [-] To Chairmen and Members
(C) [-] To Chairmen
(D) [X] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 27 January 2014**

Case Number: T 0963/13 - 3.3.04

Application Number: 04783109.4

Publication Number: 1660119

IPC: A61K39/00, A61K48/00,
A61P35/00, C12N15/863

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:
Multi-antigen vectors for Melanoma

Applicant:
Sanofi Pasteur Limited

Headword:
Multi-antigen/Sanofi Pasteur

Relevant legal provisions:
EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:
"Missing statement of grounds"

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



**Beschwerdekammern
Boards of Appeal
Chambres de recours**

European Patent Office
D-80298 MUNICH
GERMANY
Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0
Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0963/13 - 3.3.04

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.04
of 27 January 2014

Appellant: Sanofi Pasteur Limited
(Applicant) 1755 Steeles Avenue West
Toronto, ON M2R 3T4 (CA)

Representative: Lawrence, Malcolm Graham
avidity IP
Kestrel House
Falconry Court
Baker's Lane
Epping, Essex CM16 5DQ (GB)

Decision under appeal: **Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted on 9 November 2012
refusing European patent application No.
04783109.4 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.**

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: C. Rennie-Smith
Members: M. Montrone
G. Alt

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is against the decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office dated 9 November 2012, refusing European Patent Application No. 04 783 109.4.
- II. The appellant (applicant) filed a notice of appeal on 21 January 2013 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day.
- III. No statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed by the appellant. The notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.
- IV. By communication dated 26 April 2013, sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the Registry of the board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.
- V. The appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC, third sentence, in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



P. Cremona

C. Rennie-Smith

Decision electronically authenticated