BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal	distribution	code:
----------	--------------	-------

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 11 October 2013

Case Number: T 0937/13 - 3.4.02 Application Number: 08731551.1

Publication Number: 2122399

IPC: G02B6/38

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

FIBER OPTIC CONNECTOR WITH DOUBLE-CLAD STUB FIBER

Applicant:

Panduit Corp.

Headword:

"Missing statement of grounds"

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

European Patent Office D-80298 MUNICH GERMANY Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0937/13 - 3.4.02

D E C I S I O N
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.4.02
of 11 October 2013

Appellant: Panduit Corp.

(Applicant) 17301 South Ridgeland Avenue Tinley Park, IL 60477 (US)

Representative: Bollinghaus, Emer

Kilburn & Strode LLP 20 Red Lion Street London WC1R 4PJ (GB)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 25 October 2012

refusing European patent application No. 08731551.1 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: A. Klein
Members: F. Maaswinkel

B. Müller

- 1 - T 0937/13

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Examining Division posted on 25 October 2012.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 24 December 2012 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of [DATE], received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed [or: had been filed out of time (date of receipt: [DATE])], and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply has been received.

Reasons for the Decision

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

- 2 - T 0937/13

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



A. Counillon A. Klein

Decision electronically authenticated