

**Internal distribution code:**

- (A) [ - ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ - ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ - ] To Chairmen
- (D) [ X ] No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision  
of 22 October 2013**

**Case Number:** T 2479/12 - 3.2.01

**Application Number:** 01933659.3

**Publication Number:** 1274597

**IPC:** B60J1/00, H05B3/86, G02B5/28,  
B32B17/10

**Language of the proceedings:** EN

**Title of invention:**  
AUTOMOTIVE GLAZING PANEL HAVING AN ELECTRICALLY HEATABLE SOLAR  
CONTROL COATING LAYER PROVIDED WITH DATA TRANSMISSION WINDOWS

**Patent Proprietor:**  
AGC Glass Europe

**Opponent:**  
SAINT-GOBAIN GLASS FRANCE

**Headword:**

**Relevant legal provisions:**  
EPC Art. 108  
EPC R. 99(2), 101(1)

**Keyword:**

**Decisions cited:**

**Catchword:**



**Beschwerdekammern  
Boards of Appeal  
Chambres de recours**

European Patent Office  
D-80298 MUNICH  
GERMANY  
Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0  
Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 2479/12 - 3.2.01

**D E C I S I O N**  
**of Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.01**  
**of 22 October 2013**

**Appellant:** SAINT-GOBAIN GLASS FRANCE  
(Opponent) 18, avenue d'Alsace  
92400 COURBEVOIE (FR)

**Representative:** Jamet, Vincent  
Saint-Gobain Recherche  
39, quai Lucien Lefranc  
B.P. 135  
93303 Aubervilliers Cedex (FR)

**Respondent:** AGC Glass Europe  
(Patent Proprietor) Chaussée de la Hulpe 166  
1170 Brussels (BE)

**Representative:** Bayot, Daisy  
AGC Glass Europe S.A.  
R&D Centre  
Rue de l'Aurore, 2  
6040 Jumet (BE)

**Decision under appeal:** **Decision of the Opposition Division of the European Patent Office posted on 24 October 2012 rejecting the opposition filed against European patent No. 1274597 pursuant to Article 101(2) EPC.**

**Composition of the Board:**

**Chairman:** G. Pricolo  
**Members:** C. Narcisi  
P. Guntz

### **Summary of Facts and Submissions**

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Opposition Division posted on 24 October 2012.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 30 November 2012 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 28 May 2013, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed, and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- IV. No reply was received.

### **Reasons for the Decision**

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

**Order**

**For these reasons it is decided that:**

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



A. Vottner

G. Pricolo

Decision electronically authenticated