

**Internal distribution code:**

- (A)  Publication in OJ  
(B)  To Chairmen and Members  
(C)  To Chairmen  
(D)  No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision  
of 9 October 2013**

**Case Number:** T 1750/12 - 3.3.06

**Application Number:** 06121393.0

**Publication Number:** 1905818

**IPC:** C11D 17/04, C11D 3/50,  
B65D 77/22, B65D 5/66

**Language of the proceedings:** EN

**Title of invention:**  
Detergent Pack

**Patent Proprietor:**  
The Procter & Gamble Company

**Opponent:**  
Reckitt Benckiser (UK) Limited

**Headword:**  
-

**Relevant legal provisions:**  
-

**Keyword:**  
-

**Decisions cited:**  
-

**Catchword:**  
-



Case Number: T 1750/12 - 3.3.06

**D E C I S I O N**  
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.06  
of 9 October 2013

**Appellant:**  
(Opponent)

Reckitt Benckiser (UK) Limited  
Dansom Lane  
Hull Huinberside HU8 7DS (GB)

**Representative:**

Bowers, Craig Malcolm  
Reckitt Benckiser Corporate Services Limited  
Legal Department - Patents Group  
Dansom Lane  
Hull Humberside HU8 7DS (GB)

**Respondent:**  
(Patent Proprietor)

The Procter & Gamble Company  
One Procter & Gamble Plaza  
Cincinnati, OH 45202 (US)

**Representative:**

Clarke, Lionel Paul  
Gill Jennings & Every LLP  
The Broadgate Tower  
20 Primrose Street  
London EC2A 2ES (GB)

**Decision under appeal:**

**Interlocutory decision of the Opposition  
Division of the European Patent Office posted  
23 May 2012 concerning maintenance of European  
patent No. 1905818 in amended form.**

**Composition of the Board:**

**Chairman:** B. Czech  
**Members:** L. Li Voti  
U. Tronser

## **Summary of Facts and Submissions**

- I. The appeal is directed against the decision of the Opposition Division of 25 April 2012, posted on 23 May 2012.
- II. The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 1 August 2012 and paid the appeal fee on the same day.
- III. By communication of 11 April 2013, received by the appellant, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that it appeared from the file that the written statement of grounds of appeal had not been filed and that it was therefore to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible pursuant to Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC.
- IV. The appellant was informed that any observations had to be filed within two months of notification of the communication.
- V. No reply was received.

## **Reasons for the Decision**

No written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided by Article 108, third sentence, EPC in conjunction with Rule 126(2) EPC. In addition, neither the notice of appeal nor any other document filed contains anything that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC and Rule 99(2) EPC. Therefore, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

**Order**

**For these reasons it is decided that:**

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:

D. Magliano

B. Czech