PATENTAMTS

BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 7 June 2018

Case Number: T 1217/12 - 3.3.01

Application Number: 01976536.1

Publication Number: 1339398

A61K31/00, A61K31/136, IPC:

A61K31/353, A61K31/495,

A61P25/16

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

Title of invention:

TREATMENT OF MOTOR FLUCTUATIONS WITH 5-HYDROXYTRYPTAMINE 1A RECEPTOR ACTIVITY ENHANCING COMPOUNDS

Patent Proprietor:

Motac Neuroscience Limited

Opponents:

Abbott Healthcare Products B.V. Merz Pharma GmbH & Co. KGaA

Headword:

Extending the duration of on-time in dopamin replacement therapy/MOTAC

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC R. 84(1), 100(1)

Keyword:

Lapse of patent in all designated states - continuation of appeal proceedings (no)

Decisions cited:

T 0708/01

Catchword:



Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

Boards of Appeal of the European Patent Office Richard-Reitzner-Allee 8 85540 Haar GERMANY

Tel. +49 (0)89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0)89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1217/12 - 3.3.01

DECISION
of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.01
of 7 June 2018

Appellant: Motac Neuroscience Limited

(Patent Proprietor) 8 Williams House,

Manchester Science Park,

Loyd Street North

Manchester M15 6SE (GB)

Representative: Clegg, Richard Ian

Mewburn Ellis LLP

City Tower

40 Basinghall Street London EC2V 5DE (GB)

Respondent 1: Abbott Healthcare Products B.V.

(Opponent 1) C.J. van Houtenlaan 36

1381 CP Weesp (NL)

Representative: Prüfer & Partner mbB

Patentanwälte · Rechtsanwälte

Sohnckestraße 12 81479 München (DE)

Respondent 2: Merz Pharma GmbH & Co. KGaA

(Opponent 2) Eckenheimer Landstrasse 100

60318 Frankfurt/Main (DE)

Representative: Ricker, Mathias

Wallinger Ricker Schlotter Tostmann

Patent- und Rechtsanwälte Partnerschaft mbB

Zweibrückenstrasse 5-7 80331 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Interlocutory decision of the Opposition

Division of the European Patent Office posted on

13 March 2012 concerning maintenance of the European Patent No. 1339398 in amended form.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman A. Lindner Members: G. Seufert

P. de Heij

- 1 - T 1217/12

Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The patent proprietor (appellant) lodged an appeal against the interlocutory decision of the opposition division on the amended form in which European patent No. 1 339 398 could be maintained.
- II. By communication of the board of 6 March 2018, sent by registered letter with advice of delivery to the appellant, the parties' attention was drawn to the fact that the patent had lapsed in all designated contracting states. The appellant was asked to inform the board within a time limit of two months whether it requested a continuation of the appeal proceedings.
- III. The appellant, who received the communication, did not reply within the given time limit.

Reasons for the Decision

1. If a European patent has lapsed in all designated contracting states, opposition proceedings may be continued at the request of the opponent (Rule 84(1) EPC). Pursuant to Rule 100(1) EPC, this also applies in appeal proceedings following opposition proceedings. However, if, as in the present case, the patent proprietor is the appellant, it would be inappropriate to allow the opponent (respondent) to decide whether the appeal proceedings shall be continued. For this reason, Rule 84(1) EPC has to be applied mutatis mutandis in such opposition appeal proceedings, so that it is the patent proprietor who can request that appeal proceedings be continued (see T 708/01, point 1 of the Reasons).

- 2 - T 1217/12

2. The appellant did not file any request with respect to the continuation of appeal proceedings within the given time limit. This is interpreted as meaning that the appellant did not wish to request continuation. In these circumstances, the board sees no reason to continue the appeal proceedings of its own motion. Therefore, the appeal proceedings are terminated.

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal proceedings are terminated.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



M. Schalow

A. Lindner

Decision electronically authenticated