# BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

#### Internal distribution code:

- (A) [ ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ ] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

## Datasheet for the decision of 28 August 2012

Case Number: T 1051/12 - 3.3.04

Application Number: 03764607.2

Publication Number: 1543037

C07K16/28, C07K14/00, C07K7/00, IPC:

> A61K39/395, A61K39/00, A61K39/12, A61K39/02, A61K45/00, C12N15/13, C12N15/63, C12N5/10

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

Title of invention:

DENDRITIC CELL PONTENTIATION

Applicant:

MAYO FOUNDATION FOR MEDICAL EDUCATION AND RESEARCH

Headword:

DENDRITIC CELL/MAYO FOUNDATION

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

"Missing statement of grounds"

Decisions cited:

### Catchword:



## Beschwerdekammern Boards of Appeal Chambres de recours

European Patent Office D-80298 MUNICH GERMANY Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 1051/12 - 3.3.04

# D E C I S I O N of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.04 of 28 August 2012

Appellant: MAYO FOUNDATION FOR MEDICAL (Applicant) EDUCATION AND RESEARCH

200 First Street S.W.

Rochester, MN 55905 (ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE)

Representative: Desaix, Anne

Ernest Gutmann - Yves Plasseraud S.A.S.

3, rue Auber

75009 Paris (FRANCE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted 24 October 2011

refusing European patent application No. 03764607.2 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: C. Rennie-Smith
Members: R. Gramaglia

R. Morawetz

- 1 - T 1051/12

### Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is against the decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office dated 24 October 2011 refusing European patent application No. 03764607.2.
- II. The appellant (applicant) filed a notice of appeal on 23 December 2011 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day.
- III. No statement of grounds was filed by the appellant. The notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.
- IV. By communication dated 10 May 2012, sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the Registry of the board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.
- V. The appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

### Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC in conjunction with Article 108 EPC).

### Order

### For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



P. Cremona

C. Rennie-Smith

Decision electronically authenticated