# BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF OFFICE

CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPÉEN DES BREVETS

### Internal distribution code:

- (A) [ ] Publication in OJ
- (B) [ ] To Chairmen and Members
- (C) [ ] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

## Datasheet for the decision of 7 March 2013

Case Number: T 0333/12 - 3.3.07

Application Number: 03000044.2

1329215 Publication Number:

IPC: A61Q5/02, A61K8/365

Language of the proceedings: ΕN

Title of invention:

Hair cleansing compositions

Patent Proprietor:

KAO CORPORATION

Opponent:

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMMPANY

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

Keyword:

Admissibility of appeal - missing statement of grounds

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



# Beschwerdekammern **Boards of Appeal** Chambres de recours

European Patent Office D-80298 MUNICH **GERMANY** Tel. +49 (0) 89 2399-0 Fax +49 (0) 89 2399-4465

Case Number: T 0333/12 - 3.3.07

DECISION of Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.07 of 7 March 2013

Appellant: THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMMPANY One Procter & Gamble Plaza (Opponent) Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 (US)

Representative: L'Huillier, Florent Charles Procter & Gamble Service GmbH

Patent Department/ RP

Berliner Allee 65 64274 Darmstadt (DE)

Respondent: KAO CORPORATION

14-10, Nihonbashi Kayaba-cho 1-chome, (Patent Proprietor)

Chuo-ku

Tokyo 103-8210 (JP)

HOFFMANN EITLE Representative:

Patent- und Rechtsanwälte

Arabellastrasse 4 81925 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Opposition Division of the

European Patent Office posted on 13 December 2011 rejecting the opposition filed against European patent No. 1329215 pursuant to Article

101(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: J. Riolo Members: D. Semino

D. T. Keeling

- 1 - T 0333/12

# Summary of Facts and Submissions

- I. The appeal is against the decision of the opposition division of the European Patent Office announced at the oral proceedings on 15 November 2011 concerning the rejection of the opposition filed against European Patent No. 1 329 215 pursuant to Article 101(2) EPC.
- II. The opponent (hereinafter "appellant") filed a notice of appeal on 16 February 2012 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day.
- III. No statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed by the appellant. The notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a statement of grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.
- IV. By communication dated 12 December 2012, sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the registry of the board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.
- V. The appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

- 2 - T 0333/12

## Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal must be rejected as inadmissible (Article 108 EPC, third sentence, in conjunction with Rule 101(1) EPC).

### Order

## For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:



S. Fabiani J. Riolo

Decision electronically authenticated