

**Internal distribution code:**

- (A)  Publication in OJ  
(B)  To Chairmen and Members  
(C)  To Chairmen  
(D)  No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision  
of 15 December 2011**

**Case Number:** T 1998/11 - 3.3.02

**Application Number:** 05811827.4

**Publication Number:** 1796632

**IPC:** A61K 9/00, A61K 6/00,  
A61K 31/74

**Language of the proceedings:** EN

**Title of invention:**  
Organo-gel formulations of therapeutic applications

**Applicant:**  
MediQuest Therapeutics, Inc.

**Opponent:**  
-

**Headword:**  
-

**Relevant legal provisions:**  
EPC Art. 108  
EPC R. 101(1)

**Relevant legal provisions (EPC 1973):**  
-

**Keyword:**  
"Missing statement of grounds"

**Decisions cited:**  
-

**Catchword:**  
-



Case Number: T 1998/11 - 3.3.02

**D E C I S I O N**  
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.3.02  
of 15 December 2011

**Appellant:** MediQuest Therapeutics, Inc.  
(Applicant) 22322 20th ave. SE, Suite 100  
Bothell, Washington 98021 (US)

**Representative:** Zanolli, Enrico  
Zanolli & Giavarini S.r.l.  
Via Melchiorre Gioia, 64  
I-20125 Milano (IT)

**Decision under appeal:** Decision of the Examining Division of the  
European Patent Office posted 21 March 2011  
refusing European application No. 05811827.4  
pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

**Composition of the Board:**

**Chairman:** U. Oswald  
**Members:** M. C. Ortega Plaza  
R. Cramer

## **Summary of Facts and Submissions**

- I. The appeal contests the decision of the examining division posted on 21 March 2011, refusing European patent application No. 05811827.4.

The appellant (applicant) filed a notice of appeal received on 19 May 2011 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day. No statement of grounds of appeal was filed.

- II. In a communication dated 21 September 2011, sent by registered post with advice of delivery, the registrar of the board informed the appellant that no statement of grounds of appeal had been filed and that it was to be expected that the appeal would be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.

- III. No reply was filed to said communication.

## **Reasons for the Decision**

1. Article 108 EPC requires that a statement setting out the grounds of appeal shall be filed within four months of notification of the decision. Pursuant to Rule 101(1) EPC the appeal shall be rejected as inadmissible if it does not comply with Article 108 EPC.
2. In the present case no document was filed by the appellant which could be regarded as a statement setting out the grounds of appeal. Consequently the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible.

**Order**

**For these reasons it is decided that:**

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar:

The Chairman:

N. Maslin

U. Oswald