PATENTAMTS

OFFICE

BESCHWERDEKAMMERN BOARDS OF APPEAL OF CHAMBRES DE RECOURS DES EUROPÄISCHEN THE EUROPEAN PATENT DE L'OFFICE EUROPEEN DES BREVETS

Internal distribution code:

- (A) [] Publication in OJ
- (B) [] To Chairmen and Members (C) [] To Chairmen
- (D) [X] No distribution

Datasheet for the decision of 25 October 2011

T 0646/11 - 3.5.01 Case Number:

Application Number: 00203029.4

Publication Number: 1058196

G06F 17/30 IPC:

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Data retrieval system and method

Applicant:

Symbol Technologies, Inc.

Opponent:

Headword:

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108 EPC R. 101(1)

Relevant legal provisions (EPC 1973):

Keyword:

"Missing statement of grounds of appeal"

Decisions cited:

Catchword:



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets

Beschwerdekammern

Boards of Appeal

Chambres de recours

Case Number: T 0646/11 - 3.5.01

DECISION
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.5.01
of 25 October 2011

Appellant: Symbol Technologies, Inc.

(Applicant) One Symbol Plaza

Holtsville, NY 11742-1300 (US)

Representative: Maiwald Patentanwalts GmbH

Elisenhof

Elisenstrasse 3 80335 München (DE)

Decision under appeal: Decision of the Examining Division of the

European Patent Office posted 30 September 2010

refusing European patent application

No. 00203029.4 pursuant to Article 97(2) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: S. Wibergh Members: P. Scriven

P. Schmitz

- 1 - T 0646/11

Summary of Facts and Submissions

The appellant contests the decision of the examining division of the European Patent Office dated 30 September 2010 refusing European patent application No. 00203029.4.

The appellant filed a notice of appeal on 23 November 2010 and paid the appeal fee on the same day. The notice of appeal contained an auxiliary request for oral proceedings.

A written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was not filed within the four-month time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC. Nor did the notice of appeal contain anything that might be considered as such a statement.

- II. In a communication dated 8 April 2011, the Board informed the appellant that no statement setting out the grounds of appeal had been received and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was informed that any observations should be filed within two months.
- III. The appellant filed no observations in response to said communication.

In a letter dated 15 September 2011 the appellant withdrew the request for oral proceedings.

- 2 - T 0646/11

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal was filed within the time limit provided for in Article 108 EPC, the appeal is inadmissible pursuant to Rule 101(1) EPC.

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar

The Chairman

T. Buschek

S. Wibergh