

Internal distribution code:

- (A) Publication in OJ
(B) To Chairmen and Members
(C) To Chairmen
(D) No distribution

**Datasheet for the decision
of 21 July 2011**

Case Number: T 0248/11 - 3.2.03

Application Number: 06728503.1

Publication Number: 1861664

IPC: F25B 29/00

Language of the proceedings: EN

Title of invention:

Integrated system for the production of hot and cold to be used simultaneously by cooling and heating units

Patentee:

Lambda S.p.A.

Opponent:

-

Headword:

-

Relevant legal provisions:

EPC Art. 108
EPC R. 101(1)

Relevant legal provisions (EPC 1973):

-

Keyword:

-

Decisions cited:

-

Catchword:

-



Case Number: T 0248/11 - 3.2.03

D E C I S I O N
of the Technical Board of Appeal 3.2.03
of 21 July 2011

Appellant:

Lambda S.p.A.
Viale Porta Po, 107
I-45100 Rovigo (IT)

Representative:

Sandri, Sandro
Europatent-Euromark SRL
20 Via Locatelli
I-37122 Verona (IT)

Decision under appeal:

Decision of the Examining Division of the
European Patent Office posted 4 August 2010
refusing European patent application
No. 06728503.1 pursuant to Article 97(1) EPC.

Composition of the Board:

Chairman: U. Krause
Members: C. Donnelly
K. Garnett

Summary of Facts and Submissions

The appeal contests the decision of the Examining Division of the European Patent Office dated 4 August 2010 refusing the European patent application No. 06728503.1. The decision was dispatched by registered letter with advice of delivery to the applicant on the day it was given. The appellant filed a notice of appeal by a letter received on 27 September 2011 and paid the fee for appeal on the same day. No Statement of Grounds was filed. The notice of appeal contains nothing that could be regarded as a Statement of Grounds pursuant to Article 108 EPC.

- I. By a communication dated 8 February 2011 sent by registered letter with advice of delivery, the Registry of the Board informed the appellant that no Statement of Grounds had been filed and that the appeal could be expected to be rejected as inadmissible. The appellant was invited to file observations within two months.

- II. No answer has been given to the Registry's communication.

Reasons for the Decision

As no written statement setting out the grounds of appeal has been filed, the appeal has to be rejected as inadmissible (Rule 101(1) EPC).

Order

For these reasons it is decided that:

The appeal is rejected as inadmissible.

The Registrar

The Chairman

A. Counillon

U. Krause